ATL/	٩S
in the second seco	
1 // 1 - 2000 - 1	
1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M	
1 B. S. H. H. Altri	
: 185-JL : JL //	
مسعدة ويوالبنين والمسيد	
Contractory of the second s	
100 CC	
····· • • • • • • • • •	
and a state of the	
(🗩 🖉	
1 	
1 1 .0	
1 	
1 · · · · · • • • • · · · · ·	

ATLAS High-Level Triggers, DAQ and DCS

Technical Design Report

Issue: Revision: Reference: Created: Last modified: **Prepared By:** Annotated Outline

1

ATLAS TDR-xx 12 November 2002 17 January 2003 ATLAS HLT/DAQ/DCS Group

All trademarks, copyright names and products referred to in this document are acknowledged as such.

ATLAS Collaboration

CERN

European Laboratory for Particle Physics (CERN), Geneva

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mario Ruggier for preparing the template upon which this document is based and the DocSys group for their help in using it.

Table Of Contents

LAS Collaboration	•	•	•	•	iii
knowledgements	•	•	•		iv
t 1 abal View					1
	•	•	•	•	1
erview					3
Main system requirements					3
1.1.1 From physics					3
1.1.2 From performance (Read-out, selection)					3
1.1.3 Functional and operational					3
System functions					3
1.2.1 Detector R/O					3
1.2.2 Event selection/rate reduction					3
1.2.3 Movement of data					3
124 Storage of data (events conditions etc.)	•	•	•	•	3
12.5 Experiment Operation	•	•	•	•	4
126 Detector controls	•	•	·	•	4
Definitions useful for the rest of the TDR	•	•	·	•	4
131 Glossary	•	•	·	•	4
1.3.2 Types of data $TD\Delta \Omega$ deals with	•	•	•	•	4
1321 Detector control values	•	•	·	•	4
1392 Event data	•	•	·	•	ר /
1323 Configuration data	•	•	·	•	ר /
1.3.2.5 Conditions data	•	•	•	•	۲ /
1.3.2.4 Conditions data	•	•	•	•	4
References	•	·	•	•	4
	•	•	•	•	
ameters	•	•	•	•	7
Detector R/O parameters	•			•	7
2.1.1 RODs per detector per partition	•				8
2.1.2 Fragment sizes per detector	•			•	9
Trigger parameters					9
2.2.1 LVL1 rates					9
2.2.2 Parameters relevant for LVL2 processing	•				9
2.2.3 Parameters relevant for Event Builder and Event Filter					9
Data rate summaries					9
Monitoring requirements					10
DCS parameters					10
References		•		•	10
stem Operations	-	-	_	_	11
Fvent identification	•	•	•	•	11
	LAS Collaboration	LAS Collaboration . knowledgements . knowledgements . obal View . erview . Main system requirements . 1.1.1 From physics . 1.1.2 From performance (Read-out, selection) . 1.1.3 Functional and operational . System functions . . 1.2.1 Detector R/O . . 1.2.2 Event selection/rate reduction . . 1.2.3 Movement of data . . 1.2.4 Storage of data (events, conditions, etc.) . . 1.2.4 Storage of data (events, conditions, etc.) . . 1.2.5 Experiment Operation . . 1.2.6 Detector controls . . 1.3.1 Glossary . . . 1.3.2 Types of data TDAQ deals with . . . 1.3.2.1 Detector control values 1.3.2.4 Confi	LAS Collaboration . knowledgements . knowledgements . obal View . main system requirements . 1.11 From performance (Read-out, selection) . 1.12 From performance (Read-out, selection) . 1.13 Functional and operational . 1.21 Detector R/O . 1.22 Event selection/rate reduction . 1.23 Movement of data . 1.24 Storage of data (events, conditions, etc.) . 1.25 Experiment Operation . 1.24 Storage of data (events, conditions, etc.) . 1.25 Experiment Operation . 1.26 Detector controls . Definitions useful for the rest of the TDR . . 1.3.1 Glossary . . 1.3.2 Types of data TDAQ deals with . . 1.3.2.1 Detector control values . . 1.3.2.2 Event data . . 1.3.2.3 Conditions dat	LAS Collaboration . knowledgements . knowledgements . rt 1 obal View obal View . Main system requirements . 1.11 From performance (Read-out, selection) . 1.12 From performance (Read-out, selection) . 1.13 Functional and operational . System functions . . 1.21 Detector R/O . . 1.22 Event selection/rate reduction . . 1.23 Movement of data . . 1.24 Storage of data (events, conditions, etc.) . . 1.25 Experiment Operation . . . 1.26 Detector controls . . . 1.3.1 Glossary 1.3.2 Types of data TDAQ deals with 1.3.2.1 Detector control values 1.3.2.4 Conditions data <t< td=""><td>LAS Collaboration </td></t<>	LAS Collaboration

	3.2	TDAQ states
	3.3	The run
		3.3.1 Generic definition
		3.3.2 Run Number
		3.3.3 Physics and calibration runs .
		3.3.4 Transition between runs
	3.4	Partitions and related operations
	3.5	Operations outside a run
	3.6	Error/Fault reporting/handling strategy
	3.7	Data Bases
	3.8	References
4	Even	t selection strategy
	4.1	The approach
	4.2	Selection objects
		4.2.1 Electron/photon
		4.2.2 Muon
		4.2.3 Tau/jets/ $E_{\rm T}$ miss
		4.2.4 b-tagged jets
		4.2.5 B-Physics
	4.3	Trigger menus
		4.3.1 Physics triggers
		4.3.2 Pre-scaled physics triggers
		4.3.3 Monitor and calibration triggers
	4.4	Physics coverage
	4.5	Determination of trigger efficiencies etc
	4.6	References
-		
5	Arch	
	5.1	TDAQ context
	5.2	Context Diagram
		5.2.1 TDAQ Interfaces
		5.2.1.1 TDAQ interfaces to ATLAS
		5.2.1.2 External interfaces
	5.3	TDAQ decomposition
		5.3.1 Functional decomposition
		5.3.2 Component categories
	5.4	TDAQ generic architecture
		5.4.1 Architectural components
		5.4.2 Generic diagram
	5.5	TDAQ data flow architectural view.
	5.6	TDAQ "online" view. .
	5.7	DCS view
	5.8	TDAQ data base view
	5.9	HLT view
	5.10	How partitioning is realised on the architecture

	5 1 1	Scalability of the system	
	5.11		
	5.12	Baseline architecture implementation	
	5.13	References	
•	. .		
6	Fault	tolerance and error handling	
	6.1	Fault Tolerance and Error Handling Strategy . . <th .<="" th="" th<=""></th>	
	6.2	Error Reporting Mechanisms	
	6.3	Error Recovery Mechanisms	
	6.4	Fault Tolerance.	
	65	Requirements on Components 24	
	6.6	Typical Use Cases 24	
	0.0	fypical Ose Cases	
		6.6.2 Critical Items	
	6.7	References	
7	Mon	toring 25	
'	7 1		
	7.1		
	7.2	Monitoring sources	
		7.2.1 DAQ monitoring \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 25	
		7.2.1.1 Front-end and ROD monitoring	
		7.2.1.2 Data Collection monitoring	
		7.2.2 Trigger monitoring	
		7.2.2.1 Trigger decision	
		7.2.2.1.1 LVL1 decision	
		72212 IVL2 decision 26	
		$72213 \text{FE decision} \qquad \qquad 26$	
		7.2.2.1.0 EF decision monitoring 26	
		7.2.2.2 Physics monitoring	
		7.2.2.3 Operational monitoring	
		7.2.2.3.1 LVL1 operational monitoring	
		7.2.2.3.2 LVL2 operational monitoring	
		7.2.2.3.3 EF operational monitoring	
		7.2.2.3.4 PESA SW operational monitoring	
		7.2.3 Detector monitoring	
	7.3	Monitoring destinations and means.	
		7.3.1 Online Software services 27	
		73.2 Monitoring in the Event Filter	
	71	Monitoring requirements on networks	
	7.4 7 r		
	7.5	References	
	Part		
	Syste	m Components	
	-		
0	D-4 -	flow	
ō			
	8.1	(Possible introduction)	
	8.2	Detector read-out and event fragment buffering	

		8.2.1	Read-out link
		8.2.2	Read-out subsystem
			8.2.2.1 High Level Design
			8.2.2.2 Design of the ROBIN
			8.2.2.3 Implementation and performance
		8.2.3	ROD crate data acquisition
			8.2.3.1 High Level design
			8.2.3.2 Implementation
	8.3	Bound	lary and interface to the level 1 trigger
		8.3.1	Description
		8.3.2	Region of interest builder
			8.3.2.1 Detailed design
			8.3.2.2 Performance
	8.4	Contr	ol and flow of event data to high level triggers
		8.4.1	Message passing
			8.4.1.1 Control and event data messages
			8.4.1.2 Ethernet
			8.4.1.3 Design of the message passing component
			8.4.1.4 Performance of the message passing
		8.4.2	Data collection
			8.4.2.1 General overview
			8.4.2.2 Level two processing unit
			8.4.2.2.1 Design
			8.4.2.2.2 Performance
			8.4.2.3 Event Building
			8.4.2.3.1 Design
			8.4.2.3.2 Performance
	8.5	Reliab	ility and fault tolerance
		8.5.1	Detector read-out
		8.5.2	Level 1 to RoI builder
		8.5.3	Control and event data messages
		8.5.4	Applications
	8.6	Config	guration, control and operational monitoring
		8.6.1	Local Controller.
		8.6.2	Configuration data.
		8.6.3	Operational monitoring
	8.7	Scalab	ility
		8.7.1	Detector read-out channels
			8.7.1.1 Control and flow of event data.
			8.7.1.2 Configuration and control
		8.7.2	Level 1 rate
	8.8	Refere	ences
9	Hiah	-level f	rigger components 27
0	9 1	HIT)verview ?7
	9.1		9 27
	··~		

		9.2.1	Overview	37
		9.2.2	RoI Builder	37
		9.2.3	LVL2 supervisor	37
		9.2.4	pROS	37
		9.2.5	LVL2 Processors	38
			9.2.5.1 L2PU	38
			9.2.5.2 PSC (PESA Software Controller)	38
			9.2.5.3 Data access i/f's	38
	9.3	Event fi	ilter	38
	9.4	Event s	election software.	38
	9.5	Configu	uration, control, supervision and operational monitoring	39
	9.6	Referen	ices	39
10	Onli	ne softw	zare components	41
10	Um	10.0.1		4 1
	10.1		control and supervision	
	10.1	10 1 1	Functionality of the TDAO control and supervision component	41
		10.1.1	Purchonanty of the TDAQ control and supervision component .	41 J
		10.1.2	supervision component	a 19
		10 1 2	A rehitesture of TDAO control and supervision	42
		10.1.5	Alchitecture of TDAQ control and supervision	42 +1-
			other Online SW sub-systems	42
			10.1.3.2 Supervision and Verification	42
			10.1.3.3 Process, Access and Resource Management systems	42
		10.1.4	Performance and Validation measurements	42
		10.1.5	Proposed implementation	42
	10.2	Databa	ses	42
		10.2.1	Functionality of the Databases Component	42
		10.2.2	Performance and Scalability Requirements on the Databases	43
		10.2.3	Architecture of Databases	43
			10.2.3.1 Interaction of the Database with other Online SW sub-	
			systems:	43
			10.2.3.2 Configuration databases (ConfDB):	43
			10.2.3.3 Online bookkeeper (OBK):	43
			10234 Conditions database interface: details in preparation	44
		1024	Performance and Validation Measurements of the Databases	44
		10.2.1	Proposed Implementation	11
	10.3	Inform	ation Sharing	11
	10.5	10 2 1	Functionality of the Information Sharing Sorvices	11
		10.3.1	Purformance and coolebility requirements on Information Charing	44
		10.3.2	A subit start of the formation Sharing a start of the formation Sharing	44
		10.3.3	Architecture of information Snaring component.	44
			10.3.3.1 Interaction of the Information Sharing with other Online SV	N 1
			Sub-systems:	43 47
			10.3.3.2 Information Service (IS) \ldots (EDC)	43
			10.3.3.3 Error Reporting Service (EKS)	45
			10.3.3.4 Unline Histogramming Service (UHS)	45
			10.3.3.5 Event Monitoring Service (EMS)	45

		10.3.4 Performance and Validation measurements of the Information Service
		of evaluated Implementation
		10.3.5 Proposed implementation
	10.4	Performance and Scalability Aspects of the Integrated Online Software System and Results of the Tested Implementation
	10.5	References
11	DCS	components
	11.1	Introduction (Move to 1.2.6?)
	11.2	Logical Structure (Move to 5.6 - DCS View?)
	11.3	DCS Architecture (Move partially to 5.6 - DCS View?)
	11.4	DCS Components (Fictitious section, could be chapter 11)
		11.4.1 The Back-End System
		11.4.1.1 Organization of the BE system
		11.4.1.2 Software components
		11.4.2 Front-End System
		11.4.2.1 Embedded Local Monitor Board
		11.4.2.2 Other standard FE equipment (HV, LV)
		11.4.2.3 Connection to subdetector specific equipment
		11.4.3 Read-out chain
		11.4.3.1 Work load distribution 49
		11 4 3 2 Performance 49
		11 4 3 3 Scalability 49
		11 4 4 Physical distribution of the components 49
		1145 Applications 49
	11.5	DCS Data (Split and move to chapters 1 and 2? Databases?) 49
	11.0	11.5.1 Configuration data 49
		11.5.2 Conditions data / Output data / Measured data?
	116	Connection to $D\Delta\Omega$ (Where does it go?) 50
	11.0	11.6.1 Functional Requirements (???) 50
		11.6.2 Connection points (222) 50
		11.6.3 DAO DCS Communication software (Move to section 12.22) 50
	117	$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Operation (Move to chapter 32)} \\ \text{Solution (Move to chapter 32)} \\ \end{array}$
	11.7	External Systems (Move to section 12 12)
	11.0	11 8 1 I HC 50
		11.9.2 CEDN convices 50
		11.0.2 CERIN Services
		11.9.4 Magnet 50
	11.0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	11.9	$Work \operatorname{Plan}(C \operatorname{cos} \operatorname{to} \operatorname{Part} 4) $
	11.10	Work Flair (Goes to Fait 4). .
	11.11	
12	Inter	faces
	12.1	External to TDAQ
		12.1.1 LHC machine
		12.1.2 Detectors
		12.1.3 Off-line

12.2	Internal to TDAQ											•		•	53
	12.2.1 LVL1											•		•	53
	12.2.2														53
12.3	References	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	53
Part 3	3														
Syste	em Performance	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	55
Phys	ics selection and HLT performance .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	57
13.1	Introduction														57
13.2	Common tools for selection						•					•		•	57
13.3	Signatures, rates and efficiencies			•								•	•		57
	13.3.1 e/gamma						•							•	57
	13.3.2 Muon selection						•							•	57
	13.3.3 Tau/jets/ <i>E</i> _T miss											•		•	58
	13.3.4 b-tagging						•							•	58
	13.3.5 B-physics														58
13.4	Event rates and size to off-line						•								58
13.5	Start-up scenario														58
13.6	References		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	58
Over	all system performance and validation	ı	•		•				•			•	•		59
14.1	Introduction						•							•	59
14.2	Integrated Prototype											•	•	•	59
	14.2.1 Laboratory setup											•		•	59
	14.2.2 Description of the measurement	nts		•	•		•	•				•	•	•	59
	14.2.3 Results	•		•			•					•	•	•	58
14.3	Title?			•			•				•	•	•	•	59
14.4	Computer model						•					•		•	60
	14.4.1 Methodology			•			•					•	•	•	60
	14.4.2 Result of testbed model	•		•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	60
	14.4.3 Results of extrapolation of tests	oed	mo	ode	ela	nd	ide	ent	ific	ati	on	of	pro	bl	em
	areas	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	·	·	•	•	•	60
14.5	Title?	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	60
	14.5.1 Technology tracking up to LH	C ti	urr	1-0	n	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	60
	14.5.1.1 Network technology	·	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	60
	14.5.1.2 Processors	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	60
	14.5.2 Survey of non-ATLAS solution	IS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	60
	14.5.3 Implication of staging scenario	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	60
	14.5.4 Areas of concern	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	60
14.6	Conclusions	•		•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	60

15	Qual	ity Assurance and Development Process
	15.1	Quality Assurance of the TDAQ components <th.< th=""><th.< th="">.<th.< t<="" td=""></th.<></th.<></th.<>
	15.2	The Development Process .
		15.2.1 Requirements
		15.2.2 Architecture and Design
		15.2.3 Implementation
		15.2.4 Inspection and Review
		15.2.5 Component Testing and Integration Testing
		15.2.6 Maintenance
		15.2.7 Experience/Justification
	15.3	The Development Environment .
	15.4	Quality Assurance During Deployment 66
		15.4.1 Quality Assurance of operations during data taking times 66
		15.4.2 Quality Assurance of Event Data during data taking 67
	15.5	References
16	Costi	ng
	16.1	Initial system . . .
	16.2	Final system
	16.3	Deferral plan
	16.4	References
17	Orga	nization and resources
	171	71
	17.2	References
18	Work	x-plan
	18.1	Schedule
	18.2	Commissioning
		18.2.1 TDAQ
		18.2.2 Tools for detectors
	18.3	References

Part 1

Global View

1 Overview

1.1 Main system requirements

1.1.1 From physics

text

1.1.2 From performance (Read-out, selection)

1.1.3 Functional and operational

1.2 System functions

1.2.1 Detector R/O

1.2.2 Event selection/rate reduction

1.2.3 Movement of data

1.2.4 Storage of data (events, conditions, etc.)

3

1.2.5 Experiment Operation

1.2.6 Detector controls

1.3 Definitions useful for the rest of the TDR

1.3.1 Glossary

1.3.2 Types of data TDAQ deals with

1.3.2.1 Detector control values

1.3.2.2 Event data

1.3.2.3 Configuration data

1.3.2.4 Conditions data

1.3.2.5 Statistics and monitoring data

1.4 References

1-1

1-2

2 Parameters

This chapter is dedicated to the relevant parameters for the HLT/DAQ/DCS system. These include the detector readout parameters and the trigger selection for the correct dimensioning of the dataflow system and for understanding the data volumes that will need to be stored. These will be the subject of the first three sections.

Other important parameters for the correct definition of the system are the one coming from the monitoring requirements. These are discussed in the fourth section.

The last section is dedicated to the DCS parameters: the subdivision of the system in detector parts and the amount of configuration data traffic in case of cold configuration and re-configuration of possible faulty elements.

2.1 Detector R/O parameters

The ATLAS detector is organized in sub-detectors:

- Inner Detector
 - Pixel
 - SCT
 - TRT
- Calorimetry
 - LAr Calorimetry
 - e.m. Barrel
 - e.m. EndCap
 - Hadronic EndCap
 - Forward Calorimeter
- Tile Hadronic Calorimetry
 - Barrel
 - Extended Barrels
- Muon Spectrometer
 - MDT
 - RPC
 - TGC
 - CSC

In terms of readout for signal to be transmitted to the Data

Acquisition (DAQ) system, the LVL1 Trigger is another source of data and

dedicated ReadOut Drivers (RODs) are used.

7

The organization in terms of readout is in fact slightly different and it is illustrated in the first sub-section, where a mapping of the ATLAS detector and trigger is specified in terms of data sources (the RODs) for the DAQ system in terms of the partitioning.

The concept of partition used throughout this chapter coincides with the TTC partition concept introduced by the LVL1 TDR.

2.1.1 RODs per detector per partition

text

	Detector	Partition	# BODs	# BOD crates	# nartitions	# BOLs	Fragment size
							byte
	Pixel		120	8	3	120	1300
		BLaver	44	3	_		
		Disks	12	1			
		Layer 1+2	38+26	4			
	SCT	+ + + +	92	12	4	92	1600
		Left Barrel	22	3			
e		Right Barrel	22	3			
Ē		Left Endcap	24	3			
		Right Endcap	24	3			
_	TBT		256	22	4	256	
		Barrel A	32	3			
		Barrel C	32	3			
		Endcap A	96	8			
		Endcap C	96	8			
	Tilecal		32	4	4	64	1100
		Barrel A	8	1			
5		Barrel C	8	1			
e		Ext Barrel A	8	1			
Ľ,		Ext Barrel C	8	1			
2	LAr	<u> </u>	192	16	6	768	1400
_⊆.		EMBA	56	4	_		
Ľ,		EMBC	56	4			
<u> </u>		EMEC A	35	3			
, a		EMECC	35	3			
		FCAL	4	1			
		HEC	6	1			
	MDT		192	16	4	192	1000
		Barrel A	48	4			
c		Barrel C	48	4			
ō		Endcap A	48	4			
		Endcap C	48	4			
Σ	CSC		32	2	2	32	200
		Endcap A	8+8	1			
		Endcap C	8+8	1			
	RPC		32	16	2	32	1000
5		Half Barrel 1	16				
ň		Half Barrel 2	16				
E	TGC		16	8	2	16	
5		Endcap A	8				
2		Endcap C	8				
	MIROD		1	1	1	1	104
9	CPIJEP	Røl		1 or 2		6	252
83		CP			1	16	1500
5		JEP				16	1100
۲N		PP		8		16	
	СТР						12-38

2.1.2 Fragment sizes per detector

Includes physics and calibration data.

Should have average values, spread and uncertainties; should be shown against luminosity; and against data compression schemes.

The fragment sizes reported in the previous table are indicative and they have to be seen as the maximum achievable figures.

Investigations are ongoing to obtain more realistic numbers for physics and calibration operations to resolve discrepancies with the values used in the Paper Model. The Detector people have to be contacted and an agreement on the numbers has to be found, based on the latest simulation they have for the sub-detector readout.

2.2 Trigger parameters

2.2.1 LVL1 rates

Three trigger menus: low luminosity without deferrals, low luminosity with deferrals and high luminosity (NB: low luminosity = $2 \ 10^{33}$).

 $\label{eq:Reference:Menus presented by Sefan Tapprogge in December T/DAQ week, http://doc.cern.ch/archive/electronic/other/agenda/a021484/a021484s3t3/transparencies/tdaq1202lvl1.pdf.$

5 kHz of "Other items" not taken into account.

2.2.2 Parameters relevant for LVL2 processing

RoI sizes as defined in paper model document December 2001, ROB mapping (reference to back-up document, LVL2 processing strategy (B-physics !), reduction factors for sequential processing steps, LVL2 processing requirements.

2.2.3 Parameters relevant for Event Builder and Event Filter

Event building rate, Event Filter reduction factor, Event Filter processing requirements

2.3 Data rate summaries

LVL2 RoI request rates, data volume to LVL2 and EB per ROL, per ROS, total; for low and high luminosity.

2.4 Monitoring requirements

A more deep harmonization with the Monitoring chapters has to be achieved.

What has to be understood is if the requirements in terms of bandwidth have to be included in this section or whether they are described in the Monitoring chapter.

Again a contact with the sub-detector people is ongoing to understand from the Detector point of view what is the fraction of data we are speaking about and when an how frequently is the monitoring activated.

Thinking also about empty bunches in which most detectors would like to run dedicated calibration procedures to be monitored.

2.5 DCS parameters

numerology:

- how many channels you have in total. Where the channel concept has to be properly defined

- how many channels per detector. A table where this entry is specified per detector, together with the other values would be very useful, but we could put it together later.

- how many channels per partition, if that makes sense for DCS, if not why...

- what is the amount of data that you will need to configure

- if there is an error during running, would you re-configure only part of the system? Do you have a concept of cold and hot start?

- how many data you need to write? where? with which speed?

2.6 References

2-1

2-2

3 System Operations

Why this chapter? While chapter 2 somehow says what is required from TDAQ in terms of performance, chapter 3 should highlight what is expected by TDAQ when it is "used".

The chapter contains the description of:

- a. how an event is identified, at different levels in TDAQ.
- b. What are the global TDAQ states. What are the detector and machine states. Including how TDAQ states relate to the detector and machine states. The global state transition
- c. The defition of a run. How runs are identified. How an event is uniquely identified throughout the life of ATLAS. Types of runs. The question of the transition between runs. What is allowed during a run, what is done outside the run.
- d. Partitioning: definition, operations
- e. The general strategy to react to faults and errors (in TDAQ but also, and mainly, caused by external systems, such as the detector).
- f. The role of data bases, what kind of data is permanently stored for what purpose (and where?).

3.1 Event identification

The need to identify (e.g. the ROB needs to store/retrieve events) an event at different levels in TDAQ (e.g. L1ID in the ROB), how this is done (e..g 32bit L1ID in the fragment header), who sets the identification (e.g. the ROD). From the ROD down to mass storage.

Material from ref 3.1.

3.2 TDAQ states

We define 1) the DAQ states, 2) the detector (i.e DCS) states (relevant to TDAQ) and the machine states relevant to TDAQ. Followed by the global TDAQ state machine

3.3 The run

A lot of material may come from ref. 3.1.

3.3.1 Generic definition

Material from 3.1

3.3.2 Run Number

Definitin, purpose, where it is set into the event, who does it, how it is done. We should finally agree on the question of the run number set by the ROD.

3.3.3 Physics and calibration runs

Define what they are: what is their purpose, the actors (i.e. what a run type needs), where output goes.

Backup document on use cases?

3.3.4 Transition between runs

Material from reference 3.1

We should settle the question of the "checkpoint".

3.4 Partitions and related operations

Definition of what a partition is: what for, who are the actors participating to the partition.

Allowed partitons (here we should make reference to the constraints imposed by the TTC system and include the table of the detector partitions)

What can be done with partitions: join and split.

Material from ref. 3.2.

How partitioning is realised on the system is reserved to chapter 4 (and possibly part 2).

3.5 Operations outside a run

Define what are the operations allowed when a run is stopped or when LHC is off. "Define" should include: the purpose of the operation, the actors, the expected result, the effect on TDAQ.

.A backup document with use cases would be useful (if can be done).

This section was moved wrt the original layout so as to come AFTER the section on partitioning (since some of the operations might be done on partitions). It was also promoted one level up in the section hierarchy

3.6 Error/Fault reporting/handling strategy

Brief description of the global strategy here as the details are in Chapter 6. Emphasis should be given to 1) what TDAQ does when an internal error happens and 2) what TDAQ does when a fault happens outside TDAQ (but the fault affects the operation of the system).

3.7 Data Bases

What has to be stored permanently? at least give some broad categories and the source of the data. Then list what is the required functionality of the data base system(s). For example data related to configuration, conditions, monitoring, etc.

Material from this section should come from the efforts going on to collect requirements on data bases.

3.8 References

- 3-1 GIWG. Run and States
- 3-2 GIWG. Partitioning

4 Event selection strategy

4.1 The approach

HLT as a coherent entity, use of complementary features of LVL2 and EF (if not discussed before)

emphasis on inclusive signatures, have more refined tools (other selection algorithms, more exclusive/topological criteria etc) at hand

4.2 Selection objects

define (physics oriented) objects (e.g. e, mu, ...) to be used for the selection, describe in the following sub-sections the high-level (algorithm) steps to define candidate objects

4.2.1 Electron/photon

4.2.2 Muon

- 4.2.3 Tau/jets/E_Tmiss
- 4.2.4 b-tagged jets

4.2.5 B-Physics

4.3 Trigger menus

define the basic trigger menu(s), covering the major part of the physics program

need to address various scenarios

4.3.1 Physics triggers

unprescaled signatures go here

4.3.2 Pre-scaled physics triggers

4.3.3 Monitor and calibration triggers

4.4 Physics coverage

describe the coverage (essentially impact of thresholds) on various physics processes of interest

4.5 Determination of trigger efficiencies etc.

4.6 References

4-1

4-2

5 Architecture

The purpose of the chapter is to describe the top level architecture of TDAQ, in terms of

- its place with respect to the other parts of ATLAS, as well as systems and services external to ATLAS,
- how the system is organised: functionally, in terms of sub-systems and in terms of more abstract elements,
- a generic architecture with a a definition of the abstract components that are visible at the architectural level
- how sub-systems map onto the generic architecture ("views").
- how the scalability and partitioning can be performed and

finally it proposes a baseline architecture expressed by the realisation of the abstratc components.

Is DCS part of the architecture? Yes, but it is considered, as regards this chapter, as a black box with interfaces to TDAQ and external systems. The internals of DCS do not belong to this chapter.

5.1 TDAQ context

5.2 Context Diagram

A context diagram indicating what TDAQ interacts. With a definition of the context elements. (Probably could re-use what is in the TP)

5.2.1 TDAQ Interfaces

Here we detail how the context elements and TDAQ interface; we also define what data is exchanged (who is generating it, who is using it and if possible an idea of volumes and rates).

An interface is defined in terms of the partners (in TDAQ and outside TDAQ), who is responsible for the interface (within TDAQ and outside TDAQ), what data flows in/out of the intreface and where the interface is documented. It is proposed to split things in 2 parts: I/F internal to ATLAS, I/F with external (wrt ATLAS) services and sub-systems. A table summarises the data (type of data, volumes and rates, where possible) which flow in/out of tdaq.

5.2.1.1 TDAQ interfaces to ATLAS

Indicate what are the interfaces between TDAQ and ATLAS and reference where they are documented.

5.2.1.2 External interfaces

Given the external (i.e. non ATLAS) system and services as highlighted previously, define and reference the interfaces (and indicate the responsibilities).

Finally a summary table, which for any given interface, as defined in the previous section, say what type of data (viz. raw data) is exchanged. Possibly make references to data volumes/rates (where applicable) as documented in chapter 2 (parameters)

5.3 TDAQ decomposition

The purpose of the section to show how TDAQ is organised (the system a such, not necessarily managerially) internally. The internal organisation is looked at from three perspectives: what function are perfrmed by TDAQ, how functions are associated to TDAQ blocks, and a very abstract categorisation of internal elements. Generality (as opposed to implementation) and complementarity of views is stressed.

5.3.1 Functional decomposition

As it was done in the overview chapter, indicate what functions TDAQ is required to provide: detector R/O, event transport, event selection, detector control, monitoring, operation, etc.

This may be a repetition but I suggest it is a useful repetition.

Functions get then assigned to TDAQ building blocks: ROS, LVL2, ROI mechanism, EB, EF, controls, DCS, etc. This section defines what the building block is (functions provided and boundaries).

The purpose of the second part of the section is to introduce the concepts of: ROS, LVL2, ROI mechanism, Event builder, event filter, DCS, tdaq control, etc.As mentioned in the introduction DCS is handled in this chapter as a black box with its own function.

5.3.2 Component categories

Here we characterise the components of TDAQ in terms of broads categories of elements: buffers, processors, supervisors and networks. It will be indicated what buffers (decouple parts of TDAQ, smooth differences in performnces between parts of TDAQ), processors (selection at HLT level, monitoring, control), supervisors (L2SV, DFM) to control the flow of the data) and networks (transport the data) do in the system.

Q. is it useful to talk of components in such a broad way? In my opinion yes as long as what is stressed is commonality (viz. a ROB, a ROS, an SFI and an SFO do have very strong common aspects, being all buffers decoupling different functions in the system) and not specific characteristics of a particular component.

5.4 TDAQ generic architecture

Now we put together and refine what we have said in 5.3. The generic architecture is built upon and justified on the basis of 5.3. A backup document may be needed if more detail is required (although this chapter might just be the

5.4.1 Architectural components

This is the list of the components which are visible at the level of the architecture, there is no a priori problem with the terminology, however the list should include what is relevant in terms of functions, building blocks and abstract elements.

Should include the functions and components identified in 5.3: ROD, RRC, ..., RoIB, L2SV, online software major components such as control, DB, information & message services, monitoring. For each component the following information should be provided: a definition or purpose (i.e. its function), and required performance. This section is neutral with respect to possible implementations.

Why generic (and "unorthodix") names such as RRC and RRM, ROB instead of ROBin? The intent is to indicate that at that point in the system something is needed with a certain functionality (to connect and possibly mpx ROLs to ROBs, etc.). I do not mind using different termonology, provided this does not suggest either a definite option (e.g. a point to point link, or we use the same network for lvl2 and EB) or a specific implementation. An S-Link implementation (for example), or the ROBin, are instead object for the baseline section.

5.4.2 Generic diagram

Something like what is show below(to be discussed). The diagram is intended to capture 1) the way TDAQ has been organised and is organised today (i.e. it builds upon tdaq history) while staying generic as far as options (such as how do we go from ROD to ROB, how do we group, if at all, ROBs, how the networks are implemented) are concerned. Options and implementation get spelled out in the section concerning the baseline. The fact that there are separate blobs for EB and LVL2 reflects the fact that there are two separate functions; this does not exclude that the baseline may merge the two functions onto a single network.



Figure 5-1 Generic diagram.

There are missing things? yes, I suggest it is up to the "views" below to e.g. go into more details as regards their (the view's) parts. For example a ROB has a data flow view as buffer, but also a control view, a data base view.

The drawing has to be corrected to show LVL1 and its connection to the ROIB, the relationship L2SV/

DFM. Which one can do after we agreed upon the principle

5.5 TDAQ data flow architectural view

Specialise generic architecture for the purpose of Data flow.

Shall contain: functional decomposition into DF packages and sub-packages; interfaces and boundaries between DF packages and sub-packages; main use-cases realisation; "Event control and event flow" view which will include the rates and data volumes between DF packages and sub-packages (including type of communication).

5.6 TDAQ "online" view

Specialised generic architecture for the purpose of control (eg show local controllers).

Specialise the generic architecture for the purpose of other srvices provided by the online sofwtare (e.g. information, error reporting, etc.).

5.7 DCS view

What of the DCS architecture is relevant to the general architecture. That is how DCS maps onto the diagram; for example where DCS connects to TDAQ components.

5.8 TDAQ data base view

Data base architecture: including where access to (in and out of) databases is done.

5.9 HLT view

The HLT issues relative to the generic architecture. It should probably include the organisation of the EF and LVL2 blobs, how HLT gets at the data.

5.10 How partitioning is realised on the architecture

The definition of partitions and the allowed operations are defined in chapter 3 (sysops). Here we make reference to that and we show how the generic architecture defined can be partitioned. What is needed, what is affected, what can be done (and what cannot be done)

5.11 Scalability of the system

How the generic arch. can scale in performance (probably wrt to L1rate). Viz. what has to be expanded, and how. A strategy which show that the architecture can scale.

5.12 Baseline architecture implementation

The baseline would be defined in terms of concrete implementation of the generic components defined above (e.g. what is used to connect ROD to RObS, the rob is a ROBIN, etc). It certainly should spell out what the generic components are (for example we have a bus based ROS, we use a point to point link between for the ROL) and probably suggest a physical implementation (e.g. this switch is gigabit ethernet with this size). We could also have a sub-section which indicates options (possibly a small number) which one might wish to consider later on.

The justification of the validity of the overall architecture is to be spelled out in part 3.

5.13 References

- 5-1 Document from Architecture working group on global architecture.
- 5-2 DataFlow Architecture document.
- 5-3 ROS Architecture document.
- 5-4 Data Collection Architecture document.

6 Fault tolerance and error handling

6.1 Fault Tolerance and Error Handling Strategy

General principles:

- robustness
- priority to system efficiency and data quality
- minimization of system down-time
- minimization of single point of failures -> redundancy where affordable,
- failing components must affect as little as possible the functioning of other components
- standardization of error identification
- hierarchical supervision but de-centralized error handling and recovery
- automatic recording of every each failure situation

6.2 Error Reporting Mechanisms

- local fault detection if possible
- error identification
- classification of errors
- error reporting and distribution to clients via a TDAQ central reporting system

6.3 Error Recovery Mechanisms

- · central supervision but de-centralized actions by local or regional error recovery
- standardized and/or categorized action on fault depending on the nature of the fault and the DAQ status

6.4 Fault Tolerance

- provide customizable framework for diagnostic and expert system
- · emphasis on system robustness
- aim for self stabilizing behaviour in components and regions
- automatic error response and recovery (expert system)
- provide suite of test and diagnosis sequences for each system component
- start acquiring expert knowledge already during the development phase

- · identify errors affecting the data quality and mark this in the event
- error prevention due to standardization, redundancy testing, failure recording and analysis

All components interact via well-defined interfaces with other components. If a component does not react properly (e.g. provide responses to requests, be it via the network, a bus etc) this should be considered a fault and the reaction of the component as well as the implications e.g. for the event data should be described.

6.5 Requirements on Components

- minimize the number of single point of failures
- redundancy where affordable
- standardize on error categories
- each component has to provide its test and diagnostic
- an error in the component must affect as little as possible the correct functioning of other components
- provide clear and unique error messages per fault
- verbose logging on actions available on demand

6.6 Typical Use Cases

Describe how a component would react to some typical faults.

ROL (flow control, missing ROD fragments, failure); DF applications (failure of one or more); control and/or event data messages (packet loss, flow control, QOS (peer to peer or switches). Results from modelling may be used to justify.

6.6.1 Non Critical Items

Should include how we deal with a dead ROB.

6.6.2 Critical Items

Should identify which ones are single points of failure, what fault tolerance to build in and how to implement fault tolerance.

6.7 References
7 Monitoring

7.1 Overview

Necessity to have a good monitoring for

- the TDAQ system
- the various sub-detectors

7.2 Monitoring sources

which ones of the ATLAS systems and sub-systems need to be monitored during data taking

7.2.1 DAQ monitoring

7.2.1.1 Front-end and ROD monitoring

sub-detector front end electronics specific monitoring

- data integrity monitoring
- operational monitoring (throughput and similar, scalers histograms)
- hardware

7.2.1.2 Data Collection monitoring

DAQ specific monitoring

- data integrity monitoring
- operational monitoring (throughput and similar, scalers histograms)
- hardware

7.2.2 Trigger monitoring

7.2.2.1 Trigger decision

simulate the decision of the trigger stages to confirm the quality of the decision

7.2.2.1.1 LVL1 decision

7.2.2.1.2 LVL2 decision

7.2.2.1.3 EF decision

7.2.2.1.4 Classification monitoring

7.2.2.2 Physics monitoring

"Quality" of the physics which is sent to permanent storage

• Rates of different physics channels

7.2.2.3 Operational monitoring

Everything related to the "system" aspects, e.g. transportation of the events or event fragments, usage of computing resources, etc...

7.2.2.3.1 LVL1 operational monitoring

7.2.2.3.2 LVL2 operational monitoring

7.2.2.3.3 EF operational monitoring

7.2.2.3.4 PESA SW operational monitoring

7.2.3 Detector monitoring

Everything which can be derived from the event data concerning the detector operations during the data taking periods

Connections with DCS should be clarified

7.3 Monitoring destinations and means

Where and how (which tools) to perform monitoring operations

7.3.1 Online Software services

7.3.2 Monitoring in the Event Filter

7.4 Monitoring requirements on networks

Monitoring matrix

7.5 References

7-1

7-2

Part 2

System Components

8 Data-flow

8.1 (Possible introduction)

8.2 Detector read-out and event fragment buffering

8.2.1 Read-out link

8.2.2 Read-out subsystem

8.2.2.1 High Level Design

Based on [8-4]. Present the bus verses switch based ROS issues.

8.2.2.2 Design of the ROBIN

Based on [8-5].

8.2.2.3 Implementation and performance

Summarise the performance results based on the prototype implementation.

8.2.3 ROD crate data acquisition

8.2.3.1 High Level design

Based on [8-7].

8.2.3.2 Implementation

8.3 Boundary and interface to the level 1 trigger

8.3.1 Description

This sub section should be a summary of what is detailed in [8-11].

8.3.2 Region of interest builder

8.3.2.1 Detailed design

This sub section should expand on the High level design described in Section 5.5. It should be a summary of what is detailed in [8-3].

8.3.2.2 Performance

Based on results with (12 U) prototype, including results of integration studies with Level 1.

8.4 Control and flow of event data to high level triggers

8.4.1 Message passing

8.4.1.1 Control and event data messages

Introduce the types of messages, the flow of messages, message rates and the bandwidths required. Concluding with the choice of link technology.

8.4.1.2 Ethernet

This section should introduce the key features (i.e. VLANS, QoS, switches, flow control) supporting its selection and how they will be used. Should also summarise, based on [8-8], the basic message passing capabilities in terms of achieved rates, overheads and CPU loads.

8.4.1.3 Design of the message passing component

Presents the main features of the design (high Level enough?) based on [8-9].

8.4.1.4 Performance of the message passing

Presents, based on [8-8], the performance of the message passing component in terms of achieved rates, overheads and CPU loads.

8.4.2 Data collection

8.4.2.1 General overview

This section describes the common model to collecting data for level 2 processing and event building.

8.4.2.2 Level two processing unit

8.4.2.2.1 Design

This section should describe the interaction between applications which results in the collection of data at the level 2 processing unit.

8.4.2.2.2 Performance

8.4.2.3 Event Building

8.4.2.3.1 Design

This section should describe the interaction between applications which results in the collection of event fragments to form a complete event at the SFI. Should also include the aspects related to traffic shaping.

8.4.2.3.2 Performance

8.5 Reliability and fault tolerance

This section presents the major error use cases of the DataFlow. Should build on and expand the picture developed in Chapter 6. As a guideline to identifying the error use cases, major should be interpreted as referring to those that have directly influenced the design of the DataFlow. Each use-case is described as having transient, accumulative or persistent effect on the behaviour of the DataFlow and classified according to the categories in Section 6.1. The handling of each use-case is presented based on results of real life tests. The exact layout of this chapter is subject to the identification of the major error use cases.

Each subsection groups related error use cases.

8.5.1 Detector read-out

Possible error use cases here are: ROL failure; assertion of one or more of the error bits in the S_LINK end of frame control word, i.e. ROD fragment corruption; assertion of S-LINK LDOWN; missing or out of sequence ROD fragments.

8.5.2 Level 1 to Rol builder

This sub section should be a summary of what is detailed in [8-11].

8.5.3 Control and event data messages

How the system handles the loss of each type of control message and event fragments separately.

8.5.4 Applications

How the system handles the failure of one or more of the applications.

8.6 Configuration, control and operational monitoring

This section describes dataflow specific aspects. It should expand on the general TDAQ model described in Chapter 7 and Chapter 10.

8.6.1 Local Controller

The basic ideas behind the Controller component, how it is a specialisation of the TDAQ controller. Summary of the high level design of the Local Controller. Based on the prototyping described in [8-12] and [8-13].

8.6.2 Configuration data

This sub-section should present the configuration aspects of the system by listing and describing the (main?) configuration data. Quantifies the amount of configuration data needed to be retrieved from the data base described in Section 3.7. at different run control states.

8.6.3 Operational monitoring

Describe how the services described in Section 10.3 are used to perform the operational monitoring of the system. Should cover the issues described in Chapter 7. Should quantify the data volume associated to operational monitoring in the case of a fictitious (at least today) normal running.

8.7 Scalability

8.7.1 Detector read-out channels

This section describes quantitatively how the physical size, performance and control and configuration of the system scales with the "amount" of detector to be read-out.

8.7.1.1 Control and flow of event data

How the number of applications, messages and data volume changes.

8.7.1.2 Configuration and control

Amount of configuration data a function of the amount of detector.

8.7.2 Level 1 rate

How the system performance and physical size scales with respect to the level 1 rate.

8.8 References

- 8-1 ROS URD
- 8-2 Data Collection URD
- 8-3 RoI Builder URD
- 8-4 Read out system high level design
- 8-5 ROBIN design documents
- 8-6 Read sub system test report
- 8-7 ROD crate DAQ design

- 8-8 Results of basic comms tests
- 8-9 Design of the message passing component
- 8-10 Documents supporting technology choices
- 8-11 Level 1 Level 2 interface document
- 8-12 ROS Local Controller
- 8-13 DataCollection Local Controller

9 High-level trigger components

Chapter 8, Chapter 9, Chapter 10 and Chapter 11 should contain the major components as identified by the architecture.

Details should be provided on design, implementation and supporting measurements. For each component describe: the purpose/function/scope of the component, the performance requirements of the component, the architecture of the component, a proposed implementation, and performance and validation measurements.

The commonalities and differences between LVL2 and EF should clearly be shown.

Detailed design, and performance (where appropriate - performance of some components only relevant/directly measurable as a set-of components) of each component should be described in the sections below.

9.1 HLT Overview

9.2 Level 2

9.2.1 Overview

Includes use RoI mechanism (*i.e. selective Read-out*), requirements and interplay between components.

9.2.2 Rol Builder

Suggest main description is here - as function of RoIB is essentially to combine RoI data from LVL1 and first part of LVL2 processor scheduling. Not clear to me what needs documenting in Chapter 8, "Data-flow".

9.2.3 LVL2 supervisor

Suggest main description is here - as function mainly to control Event flow in LVL2 farm. Clearly needs to have reference back to Chapter 8, "Data-flow" for DataCollection framework. Also needs some description in Chapter 8 for the role in DataFlow - especially the communication with DFM.

9.2.4 pROS

Suggest main description is in Chapter 8, "Data-flow". Here just a brief note to describe the function from an HLT perspective. i.e. The mechanism to receive the LVL2 result for inclusion in the built event.

9.2.5 LVL2 Processors

Main description is here. Clearly needs to have reference back to Chapter 8, "Data-flow" for DataCollection framework.

9.2.5.1 L2PU

Description of how the DataCollection framework is used in this case. Includes design and performance in terms of the pure DataCollection tests

9.2.5.2 PSC (PESA Software Controller)

Decscription of the design and implementation of the PSC, how it sits inside the L2PU (including receipt of LVL1_Result and return of LVL2_Result) how it provides various an ATHENA like environment for the Event Selection code and the mechanisms for the algorithms to be configured. Should include some performance results when sitting inside L2PU without running algorithms

9.2.5.3 Data access i/f's

Description of how the PESA DataManager can access RoI data from the L2PU. Not clear that there are meaningful separate performance measurements for this.

Issue - Should we include possible use of FPGA's here or under system performance aspects?

9.3 Event filter

Description of EF DataFlow - event distribution, use of PT's (providing ATHENA environment), use for Event Selection, for Calibration and data monitoring, generation of EF_Result, appending EF_Result to the built event, passing accepted events back to main DataFlow.

9.4 Event selection software

ESS Architecture - requirements, design and implementation - including the main internal components

9.5 Configuration, control, supervision and operational monitoring

Describe here the HLT specific items and issues.

Thus:

1) LVL2 use same LocalController as DataCollection. Need to add something about the operational supervision of the LVL2 processors.

2) EF has the EF Supervision sub-system

3) Concept of sub-farms - how they are defined, and application configured

As far as possible common issues should be described in the OnLineSW chapter.

Issue - Should we include management of the sub-farm fabrics here? (Presumably yes)

Issue - Where do we cover algorithm configuration

(I assume that data and algorithm related monitoring is included in the monitoring chapter).

9.6 References

- 9-2 EF DataFlow URD
- 9-3 EF Supervision URD
- 9-4 ESS Requirements Doc
- 9-5 ESS Design Doc

10 Online software components

An overview of the functionality of the online software if or as far as it is not yet done in Part 1 is given. The scope of the Online software components is defined as TDAQ and Detectors.

10.0.1 The Architectural Model

The hierarchical component model of the online software system will be presented and the composition of the online sub-system with the logical view (diagram) with clear separation between components and well defined boundaries. TDAQ control and supervision, Databases and Information Sharing are introduced and the model of services is explained.

10.1 TDAQ control and supervision

10.1.1 Functionality of the TDAQ control and supervision component

The TDAQ Control and supervision package encompasses software components responsible for the control of other TDAQ systems and detectors. It includes facilities for run command distribution and synchronization between the systems, TDAQ initialization and shutdown and run supervision.

The Verification sub-system is responsible to verify the functionality of any subset of the current TDAQ configuration. It uses developers knowledge to organize tests in sequences, analyze test results, diagnose problems and provide conclusions about the functional state of TDAQ components. An expert system is a possible design choice for knowledge representation and reasoning.

The Process Management provides basic process management functionality in a distributed environment. This functionality includes starting, stopping and monitoring processes on different TDAQ hosts.

The Resource Management is concerned with the allocation of software and hardware resources between running partitions. It prevents the operator from trying to perform operations on certain resources with conflicting interests.

The Access Management is a general Online software safety service, responsible for TDAQ users authentication and implementation of an access policy to prevent non-authorised users to corrupt TDAQ functionality.

The User Interface (UI) provides an integrated view of the TDAQ system to the operator and should be the main interaction point. It is foreseen to provide a flexible and extensible UI that can accommodate parts implemented by the detectors or other TDAQ system users.

10.1.2 Performance and Scalability Requirements on the TDAQ control and supervision component

The current understanding of the performance and scalability requirements as requested by the online system users will be listed.

10.1.3 Architecture of TDAQ control and supervision

remark: the content of the paragraphs of 10.1.3 may be moved to 10.1.1

10.1.3.1 Interaction of the TDAQ control and supervision system with other Online SW subsystems

Databases, Information Sharing Sequence diagrams, activity diagrams

10.1.3.2 Supervision and Verification

logical view on design

10.1.3.3 Process, Access and Resource Management systems

logical view on design

10.1.4 Performance and Validation measurements

of the supervision and verification as performed for an evaluated implementation

10.1.5 Proposed implementation

Propose a possible implementation

10.2 Databases

10.2.1 Functionality of the Databases Component

The database package is dealing with persistent information to be shared between the TDAQ system and its applications. It includes the configuration databases describing the system, the online bookkeeper supporting log of run conditions and the interface to the off-line conditions databases.

The Configuration Databases component describes several configurations prepared for different types of runs, allows this description to be available for all TDAQ applications and allows to modify such a description by authorized experts and their processes. The Online Book-Keeper (OBK) is the system responsible for the online storage of relevant operational monitoring and configuration data. The OBK organizes the stored data on a per-run basis. In order for that data to be useful while doing off-line reconstruction or analysis, the system also provides querying facilities.

The online Conditions Databases Interface (CondDBI) provides an API for accessing conditions data for all TDAQ and detectors processes and to provide preferment access to the conditions-data.

The Conditions Databases are provided by the off-line software group. The online environment is both the provider and the client of the conditions data.

remark: details of the functionality of the Conditions Database interface are till under discussion and the therefore the content of the explication given above may change.

10.2.2 Performance and Scalability Requirements on the Databases

The current understanding of the performance and scalability requirements as requested by the online system users will be listed.

10.2.3 Architecture of Databases

remark: the content of the paragraphs of 10.2.3 may be moved to 10.2.1

logical view on design

10.2.3.1 Interaction of the Database with other Online SW sub-systems:

TDAQ Control and Supervision, Information Sharing

Sequence diagrams, activity diagrams

10.2.3.2 Configuration databases (ConfDB):

ConfDB Data Access library (DAL), ConfDB DAL Generator, ConfDB Monitor, ConfDB repository, ConfDB User Interface

logical view on design

10.2.3.3 Online bookkeeper (OBK):

OBK log info provider, OBK repository, OBK browser, OBK Administrator

logical view on design

10.2.3.4 Conditions database interface: details in preparation

10.2.4 Performance and Validation Measurements of the Databases

component Measurements for evaluated implementations of the ConfDB, Online Book-keeper and Conditions Database Interface

10.2.5 Proposed Implementation

10.3 Information Sharing

10.3.1 Functionality of the Information Sharing Services

The Information Sharing package is responsible for providing a means for sharing and monitoring operational and event data between information providers and information consumers in the TDAQ system. Information is shared between information providers and information consumers in the TDAQ system. The sharing mechanism depends on the type of information. Services are provided for sharing generic information items, errors, events and histograms.

The Information Service (IS) allows to exchange user-defined information between applications. The Error Reporting Service (ERS) provides transportation of the error messages from the applications which detect these errors to the applications which are responsible for their handling.

The Online Histogramming Service (OHS) allows applications to exchange histograms. The OHS is very similar to the Information Service. The difference is that the information which is transported from the providers to the receivers has pre-defined format.

The Event Monitoring Service (EMS) is responsible for transportation of physical events or event fragments sampled from well-defined points in the data flow chain to the applications which can analyse them in order to monitor the state of the data acquisition and the quality of physics data in the experiment.

10.3.2 Performance and scalability requirements on Information Sharing

The current understanding of the performance and scalability requirements as requested by the online system users will be listed.

10.3.3 Architecture of Information Sharing component

remark: the content of the paragraphs of 10.3.3 may be moved to10.3.1

logical view on design

10.3.3.1 Interaction of the Information Sharing with other Online SW sub-systems:

TDAQ Control and Supervision, Databases

Sequence diagrams, activity diagrams

10.3.3.2 Information Service (IS)

InfoProvider, InformationService, InfoConsumer

logical view on design

10.3.3.3 Error Reporting Service (ERS)

InfoProvider, Error Reporting Service, ErrorReceiver

logical view on design

10.3.3.4 Online Histogramming Service (OHS)

HistoProvider, HistogrammingService, HistoReceiver, Histogram Display

logical view on design

10.3.3.5 Event Monitoring Service (EMS)

Event Sampler, Event Monitoring Service, EventReceiver, EventDump

logical view on design

10.3.4 Performance and Validation measurements of the Information Service of evaluated Implementation

10.3.5 Proposed implementation

10.4 Performance and Scalability Aspects of the Integrated Online Software System and Results of the Tested Implementation

10.5 References

10-1

10-2

11 DCS components

Chapter 8, Chapter 9, Chapter 10 and Chapter 11 should contain the major components as identified by the architecture.

Details should be provided on design, implementation and supporting measurements. For each component describe: the purpose/function/scope of the component, the performance requirements of the component, the architecture of the component, a proposed implementation, and performance and validation measurements.

11.1 Introduction (Move to 1.2.6?)

Scope, mandate and definition of the DCS

Defines what is covered by the DCS and what is excluded.

11.2 Logical Structure (Move to 5.6 - DCS View?)

Detector and External Systems

11.3 DCS Architecture (Move partially to 5.6 - DCS View?)

This section describes the overall architecture of the DCS and introduces the main components, namely the Back-End sytem and the Front-End. It also describes the hierarchical organization of the BE system in three levels:

- Global Control Stations
- Local Control Stations
- Subsystems Control Stations

It also places the DCS in the context of the general TDAQ system. The DCS is seen a self-contained system. The interfaces of the DCS with other parts of TDAQ are described.

-----This could be the beginning of Chapter 11-----

11.4 DCS Components (Fictitious section, could be chapter 11)

This section describes the two main components of the DCS, namely the Front-End and the Back-End system.

11.4.1 The Back-End System

11.4.1.1 Organization of the BE system

It describes the functions of the three levels of the Back-End sytems:

- Global Control Station
- Local Control Stations
- Subsystems Control Station

11.4.1.2 Software components

- PVSS
- PVSS Framework
- Other software components (data and alarm displays, web services, root interface, etc.)

11.4.2 Front-End System

11.4.2.1 Embedded Local Monitor Board

- Features (Description of HW and SW of the ELMB)
- Performance (Accuracy, stability, etc.)
- Radiation Qualification
- Magnetic testing
- Add ons (Interlock box, motherboard, DAC, etc.)
- Canbus Topology
 - Bus Powering
 - Bus and Node Supervision

11.4.2.2 Other standard FE equipment (HV, LV)

VME, PLC, etc...

11.4.2.3 Connection to subdetector specific equipment

Muon alignment system, LAr purity control system, CS calibration source of the Tilecal, etc.

11.4.3 Read-out chain

Elements of the readout chain

Comprising ELMB, Kvaser interface card, CANopen OPC server and PVSS. Here is where the CANopen OPC server should be described

11.4.3.1 Work load distribution

This section describes the functions performed by the different elements of the readout chain

11.4.3.2 Performance

Here is where the results of the ELMB Full Branch test must be included

Something about the operation in TCC2

11.4.3.3 Scalability

A few comments on the outcome of the results presented in the previous section

11.4.4 Physical distribution of the components

11.4.5 Applications

Covers: Common Infrastructure controls (racks, cooling, radiation monitoring, etc.)

-----This could be the end of Chapter 11-----

11.5 DCS Data (Split and move to chapters 1 and 2? Databases?)

11.5.1 Configuration data

Data Volumes and rates

Databases?

11.5.2 Conditions data / Output data / Measured data?

Data Volumes and rates

Databases?

11.6 Connection to DAQ (Where does it go?)

11.6.1 Functional Requirements (???)

11.6.2 Connection points (???)

11.6.3 DAQ-DCS Communication software (Move to section 12.2?)

11.7 Operation (Move to chapter 3?)

In Physics, Global, subdetector, subsystem modes.

11.8 External Systems (Move to section 12.1?)

11.8.1 LHC

The LHC will be interfaced by means of the Data Interchange protocol to be provided by JCOP

11.8.2 CERN services

The CERN Services will be interfaced by means of the Data Interchange protocol to be provided by JCOP

11.8.3 Detector Safety System

11.8.4 Magnet

11.9 Organization and resources (Goes to Part 4)

This section should be moved to chapter 16

11.10 Work Plan (Goes to Part 4)

This section should be moved to chapter 17.

11.11 References

11-1

11-2

11-3

12 Interfaces

- 12.1 External to TDAQ
- 12.1.1 LHC machine
- 12.1.2 Detectors
- 12.1.3 Off-line

12.2 Internal to TDAQ

- 12.2.1 LVL1
- 12.2.2 ...

12.3 References

12-1

12-2

Part 3

System Performance

13 Physics selection and HLT performance

13.1 Introduction

Recall the strategy (as in Section 4) and the inclusive approach (more details later on non-inclusive selections).

Explain the use of selection algorithms at different levels and the selection sequence

Highlight the use of updated detector geometry (also in start-up phase, *i.e.* staged, implementation) and (wherever possible!) the use of realistic data and communication schemes, the use of fully simulated data with proper pile-up

 $2x10^{33}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (we need a FM variable here!) and L = 1.0×10^{34} cm⁻²s⁻¹ different approaches

Find a clever way to explain how we will do bricolage when we cannot use the full-fledged schema to get byte stream, decode, apply HLT algorithms, derive features, take decision.

Don't do like CMS (because we can) and do not explain all the things that we already said in Physics TDR and HLT TP, but only reference them.

13.2 Common tools for selection

Describe the tools (algorithms) used at the different levels, with a focus on the LVL2 detector reconstruction (e.g. Calorimeter clustering, ID tracking, etc).

Highlight the approach of the Algorithm Task Force, the use of common tools for different selections. Do not forget that EF is "inherited" from off-line and explain how much of the full analysis chain is retained here.

Link also with description of PESA-SW, Steering, Data Access, etc.

13.3 Signatures, rates and efficiencies

Derive from Trigger Menus (of Section 4) list of representative physics signatures (à *la TP*).

13.3.1 e/gamma

Emphasis on this selection: most of explanations will be here.

13.3.2 Muon selection

Differences wrt TP, low- p_T signatures (see later for B), barrel approach, end-cap?

13.3.3 Tau/jets/E_Tmiss

Highlight major discovery channels, for taus probably start using bricolage

13.3.4 b-tagging

Define on-line strategy for this, explain why we think we need it, discuss implications for jets thresholds (and hence rates)

13.3.5 B-physics

Agree on ATLAS policy. Explain strategy, start with di-muons selection, fill-in with other low- p_T signatures with decreasing instantaneous luminosity (some of this probably already in Section 4)

For each of the above, go through the list of signatures and derive numbers for rates and efficiencies (both HLT wrt LVL1 and between LVL2 and EF steps)

See also recent Saul's comments to include test-bed results in performance evaluation

13.4 Event rates and size to off-line

Define present ideas about data compression and reduction, zero suppression for LAr (and TRT?): this might be probably be elsewhere as well. Differences between zeros at the EF and loss-less data compression in the ROSes.

Global table on rates for initial and high luminosity, implication for off-line reconstruction (costing, later)

13.5 Start-up scenario

Should be here? Picture a global approach on how we are going to handle, at the selection level, the first year of running, assuming a certain machine scenario. It is probably very appealing for LHCC

13.6 References

13-1 ATLAS detector and physics performance technical design report, CERN-LHCC/99-14/15 (1999)

14 Overall system performance and validation

14.1 Introduction

- Definition of validation of rate capability, its context and scope.
- Summary of validation process

14.2 Integrated Prototype

Description of the integrated 10% system

14.2.1 Laboratory setup

- machines, networks, OS platform(s), hardware emulators (if any)
- refer to architecture and components chapters for details

14.2.2 Description of the measurements

- scope of the measurement (what parameter(s) of chapter 2 are we testing)
- parameter space covered

14.2.3 Results

- prototype results
- comparison with required performance

14.3 Title?

Comparison of requirements for full system from paper model and extrapolation of results of integrated prototype

14.4 Computer model

- 14.4.1 Methodology
- 14.4.2 Result of testbed model
- 14.4.3 Results of extrapolation of testbed model and identification of problem areas

14.5 Title?

- 14.5.1 Technology tracking up to LHC turn-on
- 14.5.1.1 Network technology
- 14.5.1.2 Processors

14.5.2 Survey of non-ATLAS solutions

(a reality-check on ATLAS approach?)

14.5.3 Implication of staging scenarios

Re-interpretation of performance numbers for staging scenarios

14.5.4 Areas of concern

14.6 Conclusions

14.7 References

14-1
Part 4

Organisation and Plan

15 Quality Assurance and Development Process

remark: this chapter also addresses the Quality assurance during deployment. The text only tries to give a first flavour of the items to be included there and others may have to be added. It may have to be discussed amongst the editors if this is the right place to present them or if this point is better covered entirely in chapter 6.

15.1 Quality Assurance of the TDAQ components

Quality assurance during the production of harware and software systems is provided for with the adoption of a development framework for DAQ components. The development framework consists of distinct development phases. At the end of each phase a set of deliverables is provided.

This framework is complemented by guidelines, checklists and standards, internal reviews, templates, development and testing tools and coding standards. Those are being adopted as common working practice and help for error removal and error prevention in the system.

A powerful release management system and a convenient working environment has been put into place.

15.2 The Development Process

The software development process (SDP) in Atlas TDAQ provides the structure and the sequence of activities required for development. A basic framework is provided to guide developers through the steps needed during the development of a component or a system. Continual review and modification of the SDP provides it with the flexibility to adapt to the evolution of the components and systems.

Many of the recommended approaches in the SDP are also applicable to the development of hardware components or sub-systems involving both software and hardware. The SDP consists of the following phases (diagram): Brainstorming, Requirements, Architecture and Design, Implementation, Testing, Maintenance, complemented by reviews. Emphasis on the phases will evolve within time.

During event production, the emphasis will be put on maintenance and regular atomized validation testing

remark: it will depend on the level of required detail if the following items will be split into sub-paragraphs or not.

15.2.1 Requirements

requirements gather process, documentation

15.2.2 Architecture and Design

guidelines on diagrams, common notation

15.2.3 Implementation

coding standards, checking tools

15.2.4 Inspection and Review

organisation, aims, benefits

15.2.5 Component Testing and Integration Testing

organization of tests, testing doc, testing tools, test and diagnostic facilities

15.2.6 Maintenance

15.2.7 Experience/Justification

explain why we think it will work

15.3 The Development Environment

release building and its use, platforms, etc.

15.4 Quality Assurance During Deployment

15.4.1 Quality Assurance of operations during data taking times

The quality of the DAQ system must be assured when it is in use during the setup and installation phase of the Atlas data acquisition together with the detectors. Correct and smooth data taking shall be aimed for during callibration and physics event production.

Fault tolerance build into the system from the start and efficient error handling provide the basis (see chap.6 for details). System redundancy to reduce possible single point of failures is foreseen where affordable (give examples).

15.4.2 Quality Assurance of Event Data during data taking

The integrity of the event data must be assured during data taking sessions. It will be checked at various levels (t.b.defined) in the read-out chain. Incomplete events will be marked as suchdirectly.

15.5 References

16 Costing

16.1 Initial system

16.2 Final system

16.3 Deferral plan

16.4 References

16-1

17 Organization and resources

Should the geographical, racks, power supplies, and cooling issues be addresses in this chapter or in the system component ones?

17.1 ...

17.2 References

17-1

18 Work-plan

Post TDR.

18.1 Schedule

18.2 Commissioning

18.2.1 TDAQ

18.2.2 Tools for detectors

18.3 References

18-1

This document has been prepared with Release 5.5 of the Adobe FrameMaker[®] Technical Publishing System using the Technical Design Report template prepared by Mario Ruggier of the Information and Programming Techniques Group, ECP Division, CERN, according to requirements from the ATLAS collaboration.

To facilitate multiple author editing and electronic distribution of documents, only widely available fonts have been used. The principal ones are:

Running text:	Palatino 10.5 point on 13 point line spacing
Chapter headings:	Helvetica Bold 18 point
2nd, 3rd and 4th level headings:	Helvetica Bold 14, 12 and 10 point respectively
Figure and table captions:	Helvetica 9 point